

CSE 307: Principles of Programming Languages

Variables and Constants

R. Sekar

Topics

Variables and Constants

- Variables are stored in memory, whereas constants need not be.
 - Value of variables can change at runtime.
- Variables have a location (*l*-value) and value (*r*-value).
- Constants have a value, but no location.

Constants

- Constants may some times be stored in memory
- If so, they have r-values but not l-values
- Since values stored in constants cannot be changed, there is no use in accessing l-values
- Thus constants have a “Value semantics”

Values and Constants

- **Values** are quantities manipulated by a program (e.g. integers, strings, data structures, etc.)
- **Constants** have a fixed value for the duration of its existence in a program.
- Constants in a program may be
 - **Literals:** unnamed values specified using a particular representation. e.g.:
 - 42
 - "Markov"
 - 0x2eff
 - **Symbolic:** names associated with fixed values. e.g.
 - `const int n = 100;`
 - `static final int limit = 1024`

Binding Time of Constants

- **Compile-time**

```
const int n = 100;
```

Binding of `n` (to value 100) is known at compile time.

- **Load-time**

```
static final Date d = new Date();
```

Constant `d` is bound to the value of today's date *at load time*.

- **Execution-time**


```
int f(int x) { const int y = x+1; ... }
```

Constant `y` is bound to its value at execution time!

- Note that `y` is *local* to `f` and refers to different entities for each invocation of `f`. The above

Variables


- **Variables** are associated with **locations** in **Store** (memory)
- Representation of variables (for explanations only):



- The stored values are changed through **assignments**: e.g. $x = y$;
 - The value stored at the location associated with y is copied to the location associated with x

L-value, R-value and Assignment

- In an assignment $x = y$
 - we refer to l-value of x on the lhs (“l” for location or lhs of assignments)
 - r-value of y on the rhs (“r” for right-hand-side of assignments)
 - **Storage semantics:** update *location* of x with the *value* of y




- Accessing a value stored at a location is called “dereferencing”.
 - C/C++/Java: l-values of variables on rhs are implicitly dereferenced to get their r-values.
 - In ML, dereferencing should be explicit, as in $x := !y$

Pointers

- C/C++ “address-of” operation to explicitly turn a reference into a *pointer*.
e.g. `&x` evaluates to the location of `x`.

Example:

```
int x;  
// x's location stores int  
int *y;  
// y's location stores  
// pointers to int  
x = 20;  
y = &x;
```




- The “`*`” operator is used to dereference a pointer
e.g. in the above example, the value stored at `*y` is `20`

L-value and R-value (Continued)

- **Pointer semantics**


- x simply “points” to y
- more efficient if the size of y is large
- but causes confusion in languages with assignment



- Java uses storage semantics for basic types, and pointer semantics for objects
- C/C++ use value semantics for all types
- In a language free of side-effects (i.e., memory updates), both semantics are equivalent.


Arrays Vs Pointers in C

- In C, arrays are similar to pointers
 - `int a[5];`
 - `int *b`
- `a` and `b` have the same type, but semantically, they differ
- `b = a` is allowed, but `a = b` is not!
 - the l-value of `a` cannot be changed (it is a const)



Arrays vs. Pointers in C

- `*a=3` and `*b=3` have very different effects



- For this to work correctly, `b` should have been previously initialized to hold a valid pointer value

Garbage

- Location that has been allocated, but no longer accessible
 - `int *x = new int; *x = 5;`
 - `int y = 3; x = &y;`

Garbage (Continued)

- Accumulation of garbage can lead to programs running out of memory eventually
- But no immediate adverse impact on program
 - correctness of program is unaffected by garbage
- A program that produces garbage is said to have memory leaks

Dangling Pointer

- A pointer that points to memory that has been deallocated

- Consider:

```
int *x, *y, *z;
```

```
x = new int;
```

```
*x = 3;
```

```
y= x
```

```
delete x;
```

```
x = NULL;
```

```
z = new int;
```

```
*z = 5;
```

```
*y = 2;
```

Dangling Pointer (Continued)


- Dangling pointers have an immediate impact on correctness
 - they cause program to fail
- Failure may be immediate
 - access through NULL pointer
- or be delayed
 - corruption of data structures reached through dangling pointers

Dangling Pointer Vs. Garbage

- As compared to garbage, dangling pointers cause much more serious errors
- So, it is safer to never free memory
 - But programs will run out of memory after a period of time
 - Not an issue for programs that run for short times
 - To avoid this, can use garbage collection
 - automatically release unreachable memory
 - used in OCAML, Java
 - garbage collection is much harder for languages with weak type systems (e.g., C and C++).

Aliases

- Alias: Two variables have the same l-value
- C does not support references, but C++ does
 - Use the syntax `<typename>&`:
 - `int& y`
 - References have to be initialized with their l-value
 - `int x = 1; int& y = x;`




Aliases

- x and y are aliased
 - they both have same l-value
- when two variables are aliased, assignments to one variable have the side-effect of changing the r-value of the other variable
- side-effects cause confusion
 - They should be used sparingly
 - Aliasing should be used very carefully


Aliases (Continued)

- Aliases may be created using pointer variables as well
- `int *x = NULL;`



Aliases (Continued)

- `x = new int;`
- `*x = 4;`



Aliases (Continued)

- `int *y;`
- `y = x;`

